
Time Use and Academic Achievement

Melissa Velez

Trends in American Time Use

- Over the past 150 years, the U.S. has undergone dramatic changes which have affected patterns of familial time use.
 - Children's time use has shifted, most likely in relation to parents' more hectic schedules. Between 1981-1997:
 - Fewer children visiting, going to church, participating in passive leisure.
 - More children in sports activities, art activities, and in daycare (Hofferth and Sandberg 2001)
 - Shifts in time use affect children along SES lines (e.g., Bianchi and Robinson 1997; Lareau 2003).
 - More advantaged students tend to:
 - Spend more time in structured activities, reading/being read to, doing homework.
 - Spend less time watching television and in unstructured activities.
-

Time Use Implications: Education

- Interest in time use is important as it coincides with sociological research on the importance of the out-of-school environment for academic achievement (e.g., Jencks 1972).
 - Some suggest that much of the achievement gap can be explained by out-of-school environments (Alexander, Entwisle, and Olson 2007)
 - Researchers turn to non-school factors to explain achievement.
 - Studying children's time use in particular activities can add to this literature.
 - Differing time use patterns may be one way to better explain achievement gaps between advantaged and disadvantaged students.
-

Predicting Academic Achievement

- Research indicates that how students use their time predicts standardized test scores, grades, and college outcomes (e.g., Aschaffenburg and Maas 1997). Studies find:
 - Positive Relationship: Structured activities, Reading
 - Negative/No Relationship: Unstructured activities
 - Mixed Results: TV, Homework (may be positive for older students)
 - Thus, time use may be one way to pinpoint what out-of-school factors are important for achievement.
 - **BUT...** the activities that positively predict achievement are those frequented by the most advantaged students.
 - Relationship between time use and academic achievement may be spurious.
 - Previous studies do not adequately control for class or use quasi-experimental or experimental methods to isolate causality.
-

Present Study

- I will examine the relationship between time use and academic achievement, with a focus on isolating causality using several methodologies.
 - These methodologies will also help control for reverse causality.
 - Focus on unstructured leisure, structured leisure, watching TV, reading/being read to, homework.
 - Research Questions
 - Do the above activities significantly predict standardized test scores, after more fully controlling for SES?
 - Do these activities help explain gaps between advantaged and disadvantaged students?
-

Data and Sample

■ Data

- Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID)
 - Longitudinal survey begun in 1968.
 - Family measures (e.g., income, demographics)
- 1997, 2002 Child Development Supplements (CDS)
 - Individual background characteristics (e.g., gender, race)
 - Time Diaries (N time 1 = 2094, N time 2 = 2569)
 - Woodcock-Johnson Revised Achievement tests

■ Sample

- Children aged 6-11.
 - Restrict to black and white children.
-

Methods

■ OLS

- Similar to previous studies, but with much more detailed class measures: parental income, wealth, occupational prestige, and education [Detail](#)
- Despite extra controls, susceptible to omitted variable bias.

■ Fixed Effects

- Cross-sectional sibling fixed effects
 - Longitudinal individual fixed effects
 - Controls for unmeasured characteristics across siblings and individuals, but if these don't remain constant and they affect outcome, bias remains.
-

Methods, Continued

- Instrumental Variable (IV): Find a variable that predicts time use, but not achievement.
 - Possible Instruments
 - Use time use from one sibling in 2002 to replace time use of another sibling in 1997.
 - Siblings time use should be correlated.
 - Time use in T2 should not predict achievement in T1.
 - But, it may be correlated with the error, i.e., sibling's time use may be correlated with an unobserved variable that is important for achievement.
-

Methods, Continued

- More Possible Instruments

- Gender

- Previous research indicates gender predicts how children spend their time.
 - Because of its theoretically randomness, it should not be correlated with the error term.
 - But, we know there are relationships between gender and test scores.

- Other ideas?

- Exogenous job loss?

Conclusions

- With research highlighting importance of non-school factors in influencing schooling experiences, studies have increased attention on children's time use, finding consistent relationships between certain activities and academic outcomes
 - However, previous research is limited in its ability to establish causal relationships.
 - Present study will add to literature by attempting to isolate causality.
 - Results are important for academics as well as policymakers.
-