

## SPECIALTY AREA LITERATURE REVIEW EVALUATION RUBRIC

STUDENT: \_\_\_\_\_

FACULTY: \_\_\_\_\_

AREAS OF FOCUS	CRITERIA OF EXCELLENCE	COMMENTS/FEEDBACK
<p><b>Conceptual Strength:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Breadth/Depth</li> <li>▪ Synthesis</li> <li>▪ Integration</li> <li>▪ Analysis</li> </ul> <p><b>Empirical Strength:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Breadth/Depth</li> <li>▪ Synthesis</li> <li>▪ Integration</li> <li>▪ Analysis</li> </ul>	<p>Outstanding mastery of relevant theories/concepts (across disciplines, if necessary); Clear and creative integration with empirical literature; Discerning critique offered when applicable</p> <p>Comprehensive and concise review of relevant literature to support all points; Solid integration with theories/concepts; Insightful critique (sample, measurement, design) provided when necessary</p>	
<p><b>Advances/Innovation:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Contributions</li> <li>▪ Implications</li> <li>▪ Future Directions</li> </ul> <p><i>NOTE: This section is critical to the overall strength of the manuscript, yet it relies on quality of conceptual/empirical review &amp; analysis (above)</i></p>	<p>Well-grounded and creative advances or innovations are articulated with appropriate conviction and sufficient detail; Implications/future directions are clearly meaningful in one or many of the following areas: content area, theory development or testing, design and measurement, policy or practice</p>	
<p><b>Overall Quality:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Scope (breadth, depth)</li> <li>▪ Clarity / Logic</li> <li>▪ Cohesiveness</li> <li>▪ Writing quality (grammar, sentence structure, APA style)</li> </ul>	<p>The scope of the manuscript allows appropriate breadth and depth of review, integration, and analysis; Manuscript is cohesive, logical, and concise; It is engaging and compelling, and clearly relevant to the field; Writing is clear, concise, and correct; APA style is followed</p>	