

## Author's Proof

Carefully read the entire proof and mark all corrections in the appropriate place, using the Adobe Reader editing tools ([Adobe Help](#)), alternatively provide them in the Discussion Forum indicating the line number of the proof. Do not forget to reply to the queries.

We do not accept corrections in the form of edited manuscripts.

In order to ensure the timely publication of your article, please submit the corrections within 48 hours.

If you have any questions, please contact [science.production.office@frontiersin.org](mailto:science.production.office@frontiersin.org).

## Author Queries Form

<b>Q1</b>	Confirm that the first name and surname of all the authors have been identified correctly in the front page and citation text.	
<b>Q2</b>	Verify that all the equations and special characters are displayed correctly.	
<b>Q3</b>	Ensure to add all grant numbers and funding information, as after publication this is no longer possible.	
<b>Q4</b>	Could you please confirm if all author affiliations are fine as listed?	
<b>Q5</b>	Please confirm whether the included corresponding authors are fine.	
<b>Q6</b>	Please provide the volume number and page range for "Van Lancker Sidtis et al., 2015."	
<b>Q7</b>	We have changed "van der Meulen et al., 2015" to "van der Meulen, 2015" as per the reference list. Please confirm if this is fine.	



# Tapping into neural resources of communication: formulaic language in aphasia therapy

Benjamin Stahl<sup>1\*</sup> and Diana Van Lancker Sidtis<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Brain Language Laboratory, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany, <sup>2</sup> Department of Communicative Sciences and Disorders, New York University, New York, NY, USA

**Keywords:** formulaic language, left-hemisphere stroke, aphasia, apraxia of speech, melodic intonation therapy, intensive language-action therapy, post-stroke depression and anxiety

Decades of research highlight the importance of formulaic expressions in everyday spoken language (Vihman, 1982; Wray, 2002; Kuiper, 2009). Along with idioms, expletives, and proverbs, this linguistic category includes conversational speech formulas, such as “You’ve got to be kidding,” “Excuse me?” or “Hang on a minute” (Fillmore, 1979; Pawley and Syder, 1983; Schegloff, 1988). In their modern conception, formulaic expressions differ from newly created, grammatical utterances in that they are fixed in form, often non-literal in meaning with attitudinal nuances, and closely related to communicative-pragmatic context (Van Lancker Sidtis and Rallan, 2004). Although the proportion of formulaic expressions to spoken language varies with type of measure and discourse, these utterances are widely regarded as crucial in determining the success of social interaction in many communicative aspects of daily life (Van Lancker Sidtis, 2010).

The unique role of formulaic expressions in spoken language is reflected at the level of their functional neuroanatomy. While left perisylvian areas of the brain support primarily propositional, grammatical utterances, the processing of conversational speech formulas was found to engage, in particular, right cortical areas and the bilateral basal ganglia (Hughlings-Jackson, 1878; Graves and Landis, 1985; Speedie et al., 1993; Van Lancker Sidtis and Postman, 2006; Sidtis et al., 2009; Van Lancker Sidtis et al., 2015). It is worth pointing out that parts of these neural networks are intact in left-hemisphere stroke patients, leading to the intriguing observation that individuals with classical speech and language disorders are often able to communicate comparably well based on a repertoire of formulaic expressions (McElduff and Drummond, 1991; Lum and Ellis, 1994; Stahl et al., 2011). An upper limit of such expressions has not yet been identified, with some estimates reaching into the hundreds of thousands (Jackendoff, 1995).

The above literature suggests that formulaic expressions may be viewed as a valuable resource in speech-language therapy. However, surprisingly little is known about their potential impact on the success of popular programs in clinical rehabilitation. The current opinion paper seeks to address this matter by outlining the contribution of formulaic expressions to seminal approaches in recovery from speech and language disorders after stroke.

## Utterance-oriented Approaches: Music-based Rehabilitation Programs

According to analytical language philosophy and communicative-pragmatic theory, the meaning of an utterance emerges from its ordinary use by performing so-called “speech acts,” such as greeting a person (Wittgenstein, 1953; Austin, 1962; Searle, 1969; Horn and Ward, 2008). Adopting this idea for clinical rehabilitation, treatment programs in speech-language therapy should be

### OPEN ACCESS

**Edited by:**

Alessio Plebe,  
University of Messina, Italy

**Reviewed by:**

Matti Laine,  
Abo Akademi University, Finland  
Marcelo L. Berthier,  
University of Malaga, Spain

**\*Correspondence:**

Benjamin Stahl and  
Diana Van Lancker Sidtis,  
stahl@zedat.fu-berlin.de;  
diana.sidtis@nyu.edu

**Specialty section:**

This article was submitted to  
Language Sciences,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Psychology

**Received:** 27 August 2015

**Accepted:** 22 September 2015

**Published:** xx September 2015

**Citation:**

Stahl B and Van Lancker Sidtis D  
(2015) Tapping into neural resources  
of communication: formulaic language  
in aphasia therapy.  
Front. Psychol. 6:1526.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01526

grounded in behaviorally relevant situations that enable patients to benefit from a range of communicative features, including the turn-taking structure underlying everyday conversation (Pulvermüller, 1990). For example, the speech act of greeting offers the conversation partner a number of possibilities to respond—typically by using individual sets of formulaic expressions, such as “Good to see you,” “How’s it going?” or “Long time no see.” One may claim that incorporating this turn-taking structure in speech-language therapy does not provide any added value for the outcome of the treatment. If this is true, the training of formulaic expressions in communicative-pragmatic context should be as successful as exercises that focus on articulatory quality of the same utterances, regardless of their social function. However, it remains questionable how effective such utterance-oriented approaches are in improving everyday language abilities over and above articulatory quality of trained expressions.

Prominent examples of utterance-oriented approaches in speech-language therapy are, in some respect, music-based rehabilitation programs, among them a treatment known as Melodic Intonation Therapy (Albert et al., 1973). The treatment protocol requires persons with non-fluent aphasia to produce grammatical and formulaic sentences and phrases in different modalities, including singing and rhythmic *sprechgesang* (Helm-Estabrooks et al., 1989). While the higher difficulty levels of the protocol encourage the use of grammatical utterances, the lower levels involve formulaic expressions, such as “I am fine,” “How are you?” or “Thank you.” Although most of these expressions may occur naturally in a conversation, their repetitive training does not meet the criteria of communicative-pragmatic speech-language therapy. Among other caveats, Melodic Intonation Therapy does not benefit systematically from the turn-taking structure underlying everyday conversation in the training sessions. This may limit the transfer of trained sentences and phrases into real life, a goal of primary importance in clinical practice.

In line with this view, randomized controlled trials on Melodic Intonation Therapy should not consistently reveal generalized effects on standardized aphasia test batteries, even if the sample of trained sentences and phrases is relatively large (cf. van der Meulen et al., 2014; Van der Meulen, 2015; for evidence on model-oriented approaches in speech-language therapy, see Brady et al., 2012). Nonetheless, music-based rehabilitation programs have been demonstrated to directly benefit the production of trained expressions in individuals with chronic non-fluent aphasia and apraxia of speech (Wilson et al., 2006; Stahl et al., 2013; Zumbansen et al., 2014). One may argue that the reported progress in the production of such expressions depends, at least in part, on increased activity in right-hemisphere neural networks engaged in the processing of formulaic language, especially when considering the repetitive character of the training (cf. Berthier et al., 2014). If this notion is correct, it would help to explain conflicting results from neuroimaging studies, indicating either left perilesional or right frontotemporal functional reorganization in patients treated with Melodic Intonation Therapy (Belin et al., 1996; Schlaug et al., 2008, 2009; Vines et al., 2011). Future trials will hopefully determine whether or not these discrepant findings arise from

different degrees of formulaicity in the experimental tasks (Stahl and Kotz, 2014).

## Communicative-pragmatic Approaches: Language Games

Communicative-pragmatic rehabilitation programs for individuals with aphasia aim at training verbal expressions in behaviorally relevant settings, so-called “language games” (Davis and Wilcox, 1985; Pulvermüller and Roth, 1991; Bastiaanse and Prins, 1994). Based on a variety of utterances, patients are invited to communicate with others by performing different types of speech acts, such as requesting objects from a person. Importantly, the turn-taking structure of language games offers the conversation partner a number of possibilities to respond, including a series of formulaic expressions (“Here you are,” “You’re welcome,” “I’m sorry,” “Too bad,” “Pardon me?”). In contrast to utterance-oriented approaches, language games focus less on articulatory quality of sentences and phrases rather than on their suitability in communicative-pragmatic context. One may therefore claim that such approaches should, in principle, be effective in improving everyday language abilities over and above articulatory quality of trained expressions.

Prominent examples of communicative-pragmatic approaches are clinical language games, including a treatment known as Intensive Language-Action Therapy (cf. Constraint-Induced Aphasia Therapy; Difrancesco et al., 2012). The treatment protocol requires up to three individuals with aphasia and a therapist to obtain picture cards from each other, such as by making verbal requests. Utterances are combined with manual actions by handing over the requested card to other players. Depending on the availability of picture cards, the players use adequate sets of formulaic expressions to indicate whether a request was accepted (“Here you are,” “Thank you,” “You’re welcome”), rejected (“I’m sorry,” “No problem,” “Too bad”) or unclear (“Pardon me?”). That is, the repetitive interaction with formulaic expressions benefits from the rich turn-taking structure underlying everyday conversation, with possible implications on the success of the language game.

There is indeed ample evidence from randomized controlled trials suggesting that Intensive Language-Action Therapy induces generalized effects on standardized aphasia test batteries (Pulvermüller et al., 2001; Meinzer et al., 2005, 2007; Berthier et al., 2009). Although several elements included in the program are likely to contribute to this finding, the use of formulaic expressions may particularly account for the practicability of communicative-pragmatic approaches, allowing patients to tap into right-hemisphere cortical and bilateral subcortical language resources in the training sessions. Interestingly, neuroimaging studies have revealed either left perilesional or right frontotemporal functional reorganization in patients treated with Intensive Language-Action Therapy (Meinzer et al., 2004, 2008; Pulvermüller et al., 2005; Breier et al., 2006, 2009; Richter et al., 2008; MacGregor et al., 2014; Mohr et al., 2014; Barbancho et al., 2015). Future trials may help to clarify to what extent these results depend on increased activity in

cortical and subcortical neural networks supporting formulaic language.

## Possible Impact on Motivation, Well-being, and Quality of Life

Individuals with left-hemisphere brain damage often experience a sudden inability to engage in communication with others based on propositional, grammatical utterances. This loss of social interaction skills may be one reason for the high prevalence of severe psychopathological symptoms in the first year following acquired brain injury (cf. Lewinsohn, 1974). Notably, almost half of the patients suffer from post-stroke depression or anxiety during this period of time (Fleminger et al., 2003; McBrinn et al., 2008; Schönberger et al., 2011). While antidepressant medication is an option for most patients with speech and language disorders, classical forms of psychotherapy remain challenging due to constrained verbal expression and comprehension.

A number of approaches in psychotherapy seek to identify and activate resources in order to overcome cognitive-affective distress (Priebe et al., 2014). Adopting this goal for clinical rehabilitation, formulaic expressions frequently remain one of the few resources available to communicate for left-hemisphere stroke patients. However, patients are commonly unaware of their ability to perform sets of formulaic expressions correctly. Using these utterances in therapy may therefore play a key role in compensating for loss of social interaction, with a possible beneficial influence on motivation, subjective well-being, and quality of life (Doering et al., 2011; Hilari et al., 2012; Kuenemund et al., 2013). Although anecdotal evidence confirms the positive non-linguistic effects of formulaic language in stroke patients, this hypothesis has not been studied experimentally.

We wish to emphasize that current programs in speech-language therapy differ considerably in how they take advantage of formulaic expressions, drawing on neural resources of communication, to support social interaction. As discussed previously, utterance-oriented approaches focus mainly on articulatory quality in the training sessions. In contrast, communicative-pragmatic approaches benefit from the rich turn-taking structure underlying everyday conversation, thus encouraging the use of formulaic expressions in natural settings. We believe that methods relying on preserved language abilities in contexts of social interaction may have a substantial impact on recovery from cognitive-affective distress, especially in persons

## References

- Albert, M. L., Sparks, R. W., and Helm, N. (1973). Melodic intonation therapy for aphasia. *Arch. Neurol.* 29, 130–131. doi: 10.1001/archneur.1973.00490260074018
- Austin, L. (1962). *How to do Things with Words*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Barbancho, M. A., Berthier, M. L., Navas-Sánchez, P., Dávila, G., Green-Heredia, C., García-Alberca, J. M., et al. (2015). Bilateral brain reorganization with memantine and Constraint-Induced Aphasia Therapy in chronic

with concomitant post-stroke depression and anxiety—a claim yet to be confirmed empirically.

## Open Questions for Future Research

A growing body of research provides compelling evidence for the contribution of right-hemisphere cortical and bilateral subcortical neural systems to the production and comprehension of formulaic language. These data are consistent with the notion that the efficacy of prominent approaches in speech-language therapy is, to some degree, dependent on the intensive use of formulaic expressions. However, it is still poorly understood how exactly the language system of the damaged brain benefits from neural resources associated with formulaic expressions. There are, in fact, a range of neurophysiological scenarios that may account for descriptions of preserved language skills in clinical rehabilitation.

According to Hebbian learning, the synchronous firing of cell assemblies is likely to strengthen the neural connectivity between them, even if they are located in distributed areas of the brain; in other words, “cells that fire together, wire together” (Hebb, 1949). This neurobiological model may be appropriate in addressing three fundamental questions in future research: (i) Does intensive training of formulaic expressions stimulate neural activity in right-hemisphere cortical and bilateral subcortical language circuits? (ii) Does the combined training of grammatical and formulaic sentences and phrases lead to functional reorganization in the interplay of left perilesional and intact right-hemisphere language networks? (iii) Does this bilateral neural interplay affect treatment-induced generalized effects observed on standardized aphasia test batteries?

With this article, we wish to increase the awareness for neural resources of communication in the treatment of left-hemisphere stroke patients. We readily acknowledge that the examples included may only be the “tip of the iceberg.” Based on our experience, the ability to use formulaic expressions is often well documented in clinical practice, commonly under a variety of different terms. However, the possible influence of such expressions on clinical rehabilitation frequently remains unnoticed. Uncovering the behavioral and neural dynamics of formulaic expressions may therefore be crucial in identifying and activating resources of communication more systematically. This may help to improve the success of current attempts to promote recovery from speech and language disorders and cognitive-affective distress after stroke.

- post-stroke aphasia: an ERP study. *Brain Lang.* 145–146, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.bandl.2015.04.003
- Bastiaanse, R., and Prins, R. S. (1994). Communicative speech therapy in aphasia: what does it mean, can it be effective and how should it be done? *Aphasiology* 8, 482–488.
- Belin, P., Van Eeckhout, P., Zilbovicius, M., Remy, P., François, C., Guillaume, S., et al. (1996). Recovery from nonfluent aphasia after Melodic Intonation Therapy: a PET study. *Neurology* 47, 1504–1511. doi: 10.1212/WNL.47.6.1504

Q3



- Berthier, M. L., Dávila, G., Green-Heredia, C., Torres, I. M., Juárez y Ruiz de Mier, R., De-Torres, I., et al. (2014). Massed sentence repetition training can augment and speed up recovery of speech production deficits in patients with chronic conduction aphasia receiving donepezil treatment. *Aphasiology* 28, 188–218. doi: 10.1080/02687038.2013.861057
- Berthier, M. L., Green, C., Lara, J. P., Higuera, C., Barbancho, M. A., Dávila, G., et al. (2009). Memantine and Constraint-Induced Aphasia Therapy in chronic poststroke aphasia. *Ann. Neurol.* 65, 577–585. doi: 10.1002/ana.21597
- Brady, M. C., Kelly, H., Godwin, J., and Enderby, P. (2012). Speech and language therapy for aphasia following stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 5, 1–145. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000425.pub3
- Breier, J. I., Juranek, J., Maher, L. M., Schmadeke, S., Men, D., and Papanicolaou, A. C. (2009). Behavioral and neurophysiologic response to therapy for chronic aphasia. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 90, 2026–2033. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2009.08.144
- Breier, J. I., Maher, L. M., Novak, B., and Papanicolaou, A. C. (2006). Functional imaging before and after Constraint-Induced Language Therapy for aphasia using magnetoencephalography. *Neurocase* 12, 322–331. doi: 10.1080/13554790601126054
- Davis, G. A., and Wilcox, M. J. (1985). *Adult Aphasia Rehabilitation: Applied Pragmatics*. San Diego, CA: College-Hill Press.
- Difrancesco, S., Pulvermüller, F., and Mohr, B. (2012). Intensive Language-Action Therapy (ILAT): the methods. *Aphasiology* 26, 1317–1351. doi: 10.1080/02687038.2012.705815
- Doering, B. K., Conrad, N., Rief, W., and Exner, C. (2011). Living with acquired brain injury: self-concept as mediating variable in the adjustment process. *Neuropsychol. Rehabil.* 21, 42–63. doi: 10.1080/09602011.2010.525947
- Fillmore, C. (1979). “On fluency,” in *Individual Differences in Language Ability and Language Behavior*, eds C. J. Fillmore, D. Kempler, and W. S.-Y. Wang (London: Academic Press), 85–102.
- Fleminger, S., Oliver, D. L., Williams, W. H., and Evans, J. (2003). The neuropsychiatry of depression after brain injury. *Neuropsychol. Rehabil.* 13, 65–87. doi: 10.1080/09602010244000354
- Graves, R., and Landis, T. (1985). Hemispheric control of speech expression in aphasia. *Arch. Neurol.* 42, 249–251. doi: 10.1001/archneur.1985.04060030067011
- Hebb, D. O. (1949). *The Organization of Behavior*. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Helm-Estabrooks, N., Nicholas, M., and Morgan, A. (1989). *Melodic Intonation Therapy. Manual*. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed.
- Hilari, K., Needle, J. J., and Harrison, K. L. (2012). What are the important factors in health-related quality of life for people with aphasia? A systematic review. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 93, 86–95. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2011.05.028
- Horn, L. R., and Ward, G. (2008). *The Handbook of Pragmatics*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Hughlings-Jackson, J. (1878). On affection of speech from disease of the brain. *Brain* 1, 203–222. doi: 10.1093/brain/1.3.304
- Jackendoff, R. (1995). “The boundaries of the lexicon,” in *Idioms: Structural and Psychological Perspectives*, eds M. Everaert, E.-J. van der Linden, A. Schenk, and R. Schreuder (Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates), 133–166.
- Kuenemund, A., Zwick, S., Doering, B. K., Conrad, N., Rief, W., and Exner, C. (2013). Decline in attainability of communion and agency life goals over 2 years following acquired brain injury and the impact on subjective well-being. *Neuropsychol. Rehabil.* 23, 678–697. doi: 10.1080/09602011.2013.801779
- Kuiper, K. (2009). *Formulaic Genres*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Lewinsohn, P. M. (1974). “A behavioral approach to depression,” in *Psychology of Depression: Contemporary Theory and Research*, eds R. J. Friedman and M. M. Katz (Oxford: Wiley), 157–178.
- Lum, C. C., and Ellis, A. W. (1994). Is “nonpropositional” speech preserved in aphasia? *Brain Lang.* 46, 368–391.
- MacGregor, L. J., Difrancesco, S., Pulvermüller, F., Shtyrov, Y., and Mohr, B. (2014). Ultra-rapid access to words in chronic aphasia: the effects of Intensive Language Action Therapy (ILAT). *Brain Topogr.* 28, 279–291. doi: 10.1007/s10548-014-0398-y
- McBrinn, J., Colin Wilson, F., Caldwell, S., Carton, S., Delargy, M., McCann, J., et al. (2008). Emotional distress and awareness following acquired brain injury: an exploratory analysis. *Brain Inj.* 22, 765–772. doi: 10.1080/02699050802372208
- McElduff, K., and Drummond, S. S. (1991). Communication functions of automatic speech in non-fluent aphasia. *Aphasiology* 5, 265–278. doi: 10.1080/02687039108248528
- Meinzer, M., Djundja, D., Barthel, G., Elbert, T., and Rockstroh, B. (2005). Long-term stability of improved language functions in chronic aphasia after Constraint-Induced Aphasia Therapy. *Stroke* 36, 1462–1466. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000169941.29831.2a
- Meinzer, M., Elbert, T., Wienbruch, C., Djundja, D., Barthel, G., and Rockstroh, B. (2004). Intensive language training enhances brain plasticity in chronic aphasia. *BMC Biol.* 2:20. doi: 10.1186/1741-7007-2-20
- Meinzer, M., Flaisch, T., Breitenstein, C., Wienbruch, C., Elbert, T., and Rockstroh, B. (2008). Functional re-recruitment of dysfunctional brain areas predicts language recovery in chronic aphasia. *Neuroimage* 39, 2038–2046. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.10.008
- Meinzer, M., Streiftau, S., and Rockstroh, B. (2007). Intensive language training in the rehabilitation of chronic aphasia: efficient training by laypersons. *J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc.* 13, 846–853. doi: 10.1017/S1355617707071111
- Mohr, B., Difrancesco, S., Evans, S., Harrington, K., and Pulvermüller, F. (2014). Changes of right-hemispheric activation after constraint-induced, intensive language action therapy in chronic aphasia: fMRI evidence from auditory semantic processing. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 8:919. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00919
- Pawley, A., and Syder, F. H. (1983). “Two puzzles for linguistic theory: nativelike selection and nativelike fluency,” in *Language and Communication*, eds J. C. Richard, and R. Schmidt (London: Longman), 191–226.
- Priebe, S., Omer, S., Giacco, D., and Slade, M. (2014). Resource-oriented therapeutic models in psychiatry: conceptual review. *Br. J. Psychiatry* 204, 256–261. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.113.135038
- Pulvermüller, F., Hauk, O., Zohsel, K., Neininger, B., and Mohr, B. (2005). Therapy-related reorganization of language in both hemispheres of patients with chronic aphasia. *Neuroimage* 28, 481–489. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.06.038
- Pulvermüller, F., Neininger, B., Elbert, T., Mohr, B., Rockstroh, B., Koebbel, P., et al. (2001). Constraint-induced therapy of chronic aphasia after stroke. *Stroke* 32, 1621–1626. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.32.7.1621
- Pulvermüller, F., and Roth, V. M. (1991). Communicative aphasia treatment as a further development of PACE therapy. *Aphasiology* 5, 39–50. doi: 10.1080/02687039108248518
- Pulvermüller, P. (1990). *Aphasische Kommunikation: Grundfragen Ihrer Analyse und Therapie*. Tübingen: Gunter Narr Verlag.
- Richter, M., Miltner, W. H. R., and Straube, T. (2008). Association between therapy outcome and right-hemispheric activation in chronic aphasia. *Brain* 131, 1391–1401. doi: 10.1093/brain/awn043
- Schegloff, E. (1988). “Discourse as an interactional achievement: an exercise in conversation analysis,” in *Linguistics in Context: Connecting Observation and Understanding*, ed D. Tannen (Norwood, NY: Ablex), 135–158.
- Schlaug, G., Marchina, S., and Norton, A. (2008). From singing to speaking: why singing may lead to recovery of expressive language function in patients with Broca’s aphasia. *Music Percept.* 25, 315–323. doi: 10.1525/mp.2008.25.4.315
- Schlaug, G., Marchina, S., and Norton, A. (2009). Evidence for plasticity in white-matter tracts of patients with chronic Broca’s aphasia undergoing intense intonation-based speech therapy. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1169, 385–394. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.04587.x
- Schönberger, M., Ponsford, J., Gould, K. R., and Johnston, L. (2011). The temporal relationship between depression, anxiety, and functional status after traumatic brain injury: a cross-lagged analysis. *J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc.* 17, 781–787. doi: 10.1017/S1355617711000701
- Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sidtis, D., Canterucci, G., and Katsnelson, D. (2009). Effects of neurological damage on production of formulaic language. *Clin. Linguist. Phon.* 23, 270–284. doi: 10.1080/02699200802673242
- Speedie, L. J., Wertman, E., Ta’ir, J., and Heilman, K. M. (1993). Disruption of automatic speech following a right basal ganglia lesion. *Neurology* 43, 1768–1774.
- Stahl, B., Henseler, I., Turner, R., Geyer, S., and Kotz, S. A. (2013). How to engage the right brain hemisphere in aphasics without even singing: evidence for two paths of speech recovery. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 7:35. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00035

- 457 Stahl, B., and Kotz, S. A. (2014). Facing the music: three issues in current research  
458 on singing and aphasia. *Front. Psychol.* 5:1033. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01033
- 459 Stahl, B., Kotz, S. A., Henseler, I., Turner, R., and Geyer, S. (2011). Rhythm in  
460 disguise: why singing may not hold the key to recovery from aphasia. *Brain*  
461 134, 3083–3093. doi: 10.1093/brain/awr240
- 462 Van der Meulen, I. (2015). “The effect of Melodic Intonation Therapy in the  
463 subacute and in the chronic stage,” in *Talk Presented at the Dutch Congress of*  
464 *Rehabilitation Medicine* (Rotterdam).
- 465 van der Meulen, I., van de Sandt-Koenderman, M. W., Heijenbrok-Kal, M. H.,  
466 Visch-Brink, E. G., and Ribbers, G. M. (2014). The efficacy and timing of  
467 Melodic Intonation Therapy in subacute aphasia. *Neurorehabil. Neural Repair.*  
468 28, 536–544. doi: 10.1177/1545968313517753
- 469 Van Lancker Sidtis, D. (2010). “Formulaic and novel expressions in mind and  
470 brain: empirical studies and a dual process model of language competence,”  
471 in *The Handbook of Psycholinguistic and Cognitive Processes: Perspectives in*  
472 *Communication Disorders*, eds J. Guendouzi, F. Loncke, and M. Williams  
473 (London: Taylor and Francis), 247–272.
- 474 Van Lancker Sidtis, D., Choi, J.-H., Alken, A., and Sidtis, J. J. (2015).  
475 **Q6** Formulaic language in Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s disease: complementary  
476 effects of subcortical and cortical dysfunction. *J. Speech Hear. Res.* doi:  
477 10.1044/2015\_jslhr-l-14-0341
- 478 Van Lancker Sidtis, D., and Postman, W. A. (2006). Formulaic expressions  
479 in spontaneous speech of left-and right-hemisphere-damaged subjects.  
480 *Aphasiology* 20, 411–426. doi: 10.1080/02687030500538148
- 481 Van Lancker Sidtis, D., and Rallon, G. (2004). Tracking the incidence of formulaic  
482 expressions in everyday speech: methods for classification and verification.  
483 *Lang. Commun.* 24, 207–240. doi: 10.1016/j.langcom.2004.02.003
- 484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513
- Vihman, M. M. (1982). “Formulas in first and second language acquisition,” in  
514 *Exceptional Language and Linguistics*, eds L. K. Obler and L. Menn (New York,  
515 NY: Academic Press), 261–284.
- Vines, B. W., Norton, A. C., and Schlaug, G. (2011). Non-invasive brain  
516 stimulation enhances the effects of Melodic Intonation Therapy. *Front. Psychol.*  
517 2:230. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00230
- Wilson, S. J., Parsons, K., and Reutens, D. C. (2006). Preserved singing in aphasia:  
518 a case study of the efficacy of Melodic Intonation Therapy. *Music Percept.* 24,  
519 23–36. doi: 10.1525/mp.2006.24.1.23
- Wittgenstein, L. (1953). *Philosophical Investigations*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.  
520
- Wray, A. (2002). *Formulaic Language and the Lexicon*. Cambridge: Cambridge  
521 University Press.
- Zumbansen, A., Peretz, I., and Hébert, S. (2014). The combination of rhythm  
522 and pitch can account for the beneficial effect of Melodic Intonation Therapy  
523 on connected speech improvements in Broca’s aphasia. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.*  
524 8:592. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00592
- Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was  
525 conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could  
526 be construed as a potential conflict of interest.  
527
- Copyright © 2015 Stahl and Van Lancker Sidtis. This is an open-access article  
528 distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).  
529 The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the  
530 original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this  
531 journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution  
532 or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570